

**Remarks**

The Office Action mailed April 18, 2006 has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendment has been made in consequence thereof.

Claims 1-16 are now pending in this application. Claims 1-16 are subject to an election/restriction requirement. Reconsideration of the restriction requirement imposed under 35 U.S.C. § 121 is respectfully requested.

A restriction to either Group I, consisting of claims 1-12 drawn to a washing machine, classified in Class 68, subclass 12.21, or Group II, consisting of claims 13-16, drawn to a method of filling a washing machine, classified in class 8, subclass 158, was imposed. In response, Applicant confirms the election with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12.

The requirement for election is traversed because the inventions set out by the claims in Groups I and II are clearly related. Applicant submits that a thorough search and examination of either Group would be relevant to the examination of the other Group and would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. Additionally, requirements for election are not mandatory under 35 U.S.C. 121. Accordingly, reconsideration of the election requirement is requested.

Respectfully Submitted,



Robert B. Reeser, III  
Registration No. 49,548  
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP  
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600  
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740  
(314) 621-5070